

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		STATES OF "	Washington	n, D.C. 20231	B()	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/160,2	267 09/24/	98 TOYAMA		М	05058/76501	
Γ			٦ [EXAMINER		
		WM31/03	14			
SIDLEY 8				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
717 N HA SUITE 34 DALLAS T		7	_	2624 DATE MAILED:	11	
					03/14/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	l Ali-adia	n No	Applicant(s)		
***************************************	Application			••	
	09/160,26	67	TOYAMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Douglas (Q. Tran	2624		
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maximi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7040 Status	sions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no excommunication, intry (30) days, a reply within the stat urm statutory period will apply and w reply will, by statute, cause the appnths after the mailing date of this co	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed I days will be considered timely. I days mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.	
1) Responsive to communication	s) filed on				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is				
3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the	dition for allowance exce practice under <i>Ex parte</i> (pt for formal matter Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the me 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.				
8) Claims are subject to r	estriction and/or election	requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the	Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction	ion filed on is: a)[☐ approved b)☐ d	isapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is obje					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
			• • •		
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ Non	o vi. Viority documents have h	neen received.			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the p	priority documents have b	neen received in Ap	plication No		
2. Certified copies of the partified of	popies of the priority docu	ments have been r	eceived in this National Sta	ge	
3. Copies of the certified of application from the * See the attached detailed Office	Untomotional Bureau (P)	. 1 Kuit 17.4(9//			
* See the attached detailed Offic	e action for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C	; § 119(e).		
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of	a ciaim for domestic pric	only under 55 5.5.c	··· • · · · · • /·		
Attachment(s)		19\ 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	· ·	
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing (17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTG) 	Review (PTO-948))-1449) Paper No(s) <u>10</u> .	19) Notice of 20) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (US Patent No. 5,649,244) in view of Barkans (US Patent No. 5,929,862).

As to claim 1, Sato teaches:

an image forming section for forming an image in a plurality of operational modes (col. 1, lines 9-15);

a display device (18 in fig. 6) for executing display in a plurality of colors corresponding to the plurality of operational modes (Abstract);

a memory (212 RAM in fig. 29) which stores information corresponding to the plurality of operational modes (col. 20, lines 32-35);

control means (211 CPU in fig. 29) for reading information corresponding to an operational mode to be executed from the memory to control the information to be displayed on the display device (col. 15, lines 29-32).

Art Unit: 2624

However, Sato does not teach control means for reading color information stored in a memory corresponding to the plurality of operational modes to control the color to be displayed on the display device. Since Sato teaches there are different color values associating with the different operational modes are displayed in the display device, it would have been obvious to have a memory for storing color information and a controller to control the color from the memory corresponding to the plurality of operational modes to be displayed on the display device. Furthermore, Barkans also teaches more details how a memory control (97 in fig. 4) for reading color values from buffer memory (34 in fig. 4) to control the color to be displayed on the display device (36 in fig. 4, col. 10, lines 8-10, col. 9, lines 38-40 and

It would have been obvious to have modified the color values associating with the operation modes of Sato are stored in the frame buffer memory and controlled by control for displaying in the display device as taught by Barkans. The suggestion of modifying the system of Sato can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Barkans because Barkans provides a controlling system and method for enhancing the quality of colored images in a computer graphics system and minimizing memory requirements and memory accesses. Therefore, the system of Barkans is particular suited for an interactive computer graphics system of Sato in that it provides for generation of high quality images at high speeds.

As to claim 6, Sato teaches the regions are displayed with a background colors is set according to the color values (col. 15, lines 1-12).

As to claim 9, Sato teaches program registration means for registering a plurality of combinations of image forming conditions; and setting means for setting an operational mode by

Art Unit: 2624

calling a combination of image forming conditions registered by the program registration means (col. 15, lines 29-45).

As to claim 13, due to the similarity of this claim to that of claim 1, this claim is rejected as the reason and motivation applied to claim 1.

4. Claims 2-3, 7-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. and Barkans as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Kajita (US Patent No. 5,999,708).

As to claims 2-3, the combination of Sato and Barkans teaches the feature in claim 1 except operator entering identification and the image forming section performing jobs is associated with one of modes.

Kajita teaches input means for entering an identification signal for identifying an operator (704 in fig. 7, col. 5, lines 21-26), and the image forming section (117 in fig. 1) is capable of sequentially executing a plurality of jobs, and each job is associated with one of the plurality of operational modes (i.e., print mode 402 in fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to have modified the system of Sato and Barkans for entering the password by the operator and selecting the printing mode of a plurality of modes for executing the print job as taught by Kajita. The suggestion of modifying the system of Sato and Barkans can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Kajita because Kajita provides a security function which just allow a particular operator to select a particular mode such as a printing mode for only executing the print job.

Art Unit: 2624

As to claims 10-12, the combination of Sato and Barkans teaches the feature in claim 1. Furthermore, Sato teaches the regions are displayed with a background colors is set according to the color value (col. 15, lines 1-12).

However, the combination of Sato and Barkans does not teach a second setting means regarding a second function in associated with a first setting means regarding a first function, and both function are simultaneously displayed in sectionalized regions in a display device.

Kajita teaches first setting means for setting an image forming condition regarding a first function (i.e., enlargement in fig. 15), and second setting means regarding a second function (i.e., arrow associated with enlargement or number 1506 associated with copy mode in fig. 15) in association with the first setting means; the first function and the second function are simultaneously displayed in sectionalized regions in a display device (see 1501 and 1506 in fig. 15).

It would have been obvious to have modified the system of Sato and Barkans for display a second setting means regarding a second function in associated with a first setting means regarding a first function, and both function are simultaneously displayed in sectionalized regions in a display device as taught by Kajita. The suggestion of modifying the system of Sato and Barkans can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Kajita because Kajita provides the graphical user interface displays a plurality of functions associated together and in the same window which allows the user to easily set a plurality of functions when these functions are displayed in the same window.

As to claims 7-8, due to similarity of these claims to those of claims 10-11, these claims are rejected as the reason and motivation applied to claims 10-11.

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. and Barkans as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Knodt et al. (US Patent No. 5,987,535).

As to claims 4-5, the combination of Sato and Barkans teaches the feature in claim 1 except copy mode and fax mode

Knodt teaches copy mode (53 in fig. 2) and fax mode (56 in fig. 2) displayed in the display device (fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to have modified the system of the combination of Sato and Barkans for displaying copy mode (53 in fig. 2) and fax mode (56 in fig. 2) in the display device as taught by Knodt. The suggestion of modifying the system of Sato and Barkans can be reasoned by one of ordinary skill in the art as set forth by Knodt because Knodt provides the multi function device including copy operation and fax operation in which these functions displayed in the display device to allow the user easily to select one of functions for performing a particular job.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Q. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4857 or e-mail address is Douglas.tran@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Douglas Q. Tran Mar. 10, 2001